

COMMENTS

Table of Support

CLAIM	ELEMENT	SUPPORT
27	Delete as measured on a film of 15 mils thickness and add that the haze is “measured haze”	The “as measured on...” denotes the haze as measured haze which encompasses the technique used to measure a 15 mil thick film. The thickness is not a limitation since the amount of haze detectable to the visual eye is 4%, regardless of the thickness of the article.
27	said domains encompass	Corrects antecedent basis of the domains being in the previously noted plurality of domains
27	wherein the thermoplastic polymer is selected from the group consisting of linear polyesters, branched polyesters, polyamides, polystyrenes, polycarbonates, polyvinylchlorides, polyacrylamides, polyacrylonitriles, polyvinylacetate, polyvinyl methyl ether, ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer, ethylene methyl acrylate copolymer, low molecular weight polyolefins having 2 to 8 carbon atoms, and copolymers, terpolymers, and blends thereof	See claim 30, now cancelled, note: polyacrylonitriles in original claim 30 is misspelled, it should be polyacrylonitriles, See specification at page 19, line 30.
31, 32	Remove polybutylene terephthalate from the Markush group	That the matrix is a linear polyester or linear polyester matrix is redundant
32, 101, 112, 123, 136, 147, 158	Limit the claim to a copolymer of polyethylene terephthalate	See original claim 32. the linear polyester matrix may be polyethylene terephthalate or a copolymer thereof.
60, 137, 151, 162, 173, 178, 181, 184	Polyesters for the word polyester	That the word polyester and polyesters are interchangeable in this context is in claim 30, noting the various linear polyesters
59	the incompatible filler is a gas barrier strengthening filler	See original claim 35.
183		Changes dependency to 27 and clarifies antecedent basis

	ELEMENT	SUPPORT
NEW CLAIMS		
186, 189, 193, 197, 201, 205, 209	New claims to just the thermoplastic polymer or linear polyester matrix being <u>polyethylene terephthalate</u> .	See original claim 32. the linear polyester matrix may be polyethylene terephthalate or a copolymer thereof.
187, 191, 195, 199, 203, 207, 211	Incompatible filler is poly(<i>m</i> - xylene adipamide)	See original claim 34.
188, 192, 196, 200, 204, 208, 212	Incompatible filler is nylon 6	Spec: Page 24, line 14– nylon 6 as incompatible filler
190, 194, 198, 202, 206, 210	Incompatible filler is polyamide	See original claim 33.
213	A Yellow and a Red colorant	See page 29, lines 27 and 19 supporting the use of yellow and red colorants
214, 215, 216		See original claim 32; the linear polyester matrix may be polyethylene terephthalate or a copolymer thereof.

Claim Fees:

No new Independent Claims have been added. The last added claims were additional and fees paid on EPAVE.

Current Action

New Claims	31
Cancelled Claims	<u>(2)</u>
Net Added	29
x \$52 claim fee =	\$1,508

The additional fees should have been paid via EPAVE. However, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct any additional fees or credit any overages to deposit account 50-3651

Respectfully Submitted,

/Edwin A. Sisson, 48,723/

Edwin A. Sisson, Patent Attorney
Reg. No. 48,723
Edwin A. Sisson, Attorney at Law, LLC
PO BOX 603
Sharon Center, Ohio 44274-0603
Telephone: (330) 598-1063

Edwin A. Sisson
Attorney for Applicant(s)